



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,632		08/29/2001	Yoshiyuki Shiwaku	2001_1053A	5600
513	7590	02/17/2005		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.				ELAMIN, ABDELMONIEM I	
SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DO	C 20006-1021		2116	
				DATE MAILED: 02/17/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/940,632	SHIWAKU ET AL	<b>L.</b>				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	A Elamin	2116					
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the second reply	nication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum tatutory period will apply and will expire S y will, by statute, cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed  num of thirty (30) days will be considered time IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 19 July 2004.						
	2b) This action is non-fina	J <b>.</b>					
3) Since this application is in condition	<i>′</i> —		ne merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 10-27 is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from considera	tion.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>10-12,14-18,20-24 and 27</u>	is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>13,19 and 25</u> is/are object	ed to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election requiren	nent.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is required if the	drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or form P	PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have been received documents have been received of the priority documents have	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Nationa	ıl Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certified cop	pies not received.					
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I</li> </ol>		nterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date					
<ul> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 N	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other:	ΓO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/940,632 Page 2

Art Unit: 2116

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 14 recites the limitation "said rotatable shaft" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 3. Claim 20 recites the limitation "said rotatable shaft" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. Claim 26 recites the limitation "said rotatable shaft" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 10-12, 16-18 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hosoi et al, US. Pat. No. 5,255,154.
- 6. Claim 10, Hosoi teaches an information terminal [Fig. 1], comprising;

a case having a memory device section for accommodating a detachable memory device [Figs 1 and 2, title, abstract];

a locking device fixed to said case [Lock device 32 of Figs 2 and 5] and being changeable between a locked state and an unlocked state by a lock manipulation part [element 55 of Figs 2], said locking device remaining fixed to said case in both locked state and unlocked state [see Figs 1 and 2];

a lid, removable between an open state and a closed state, for covering said memory device accommodating section and preventing removal of the detachable memory device from said memory device accommodating section when in closed state [element 33 of Fig. 2, abstract]; and

an interlocking lock mechanism operably interlocked with said locking device for retaining said lid in said closed state when said locking device is in said locked state and for allowing opening said lid when said locking device is in said unlocked state [the latch mechanism 40 of Figs 1 and 10, col. 2, lines 30-35, col. 13, lines 20-25].

- 7. Claim 11, Hosoi teaches said interlocking lock mechanism locks said lid directly when changed from said unlocked state to said locked state [col. 2, lines 30-35].
- 8. Claim 12, Hosoi teaches a lid locking mechanism for locking and unlocking said lid manually, ... [col. 15, lines 55-64].
- 9. Claim 16, Hosoi teaches said interlocking mechanism comprises amember extending away from said locking device such that a position at which said interlocking lock mechanism engages said lid when retaining said lid in said closed state is remote from said locking device [col. 15, lines 55-64].

Application/Control Number: 09/940,632 Page 4

Art Unit: 2116

10. Claim 17, Hosoi teaches said interlocking lock mechanism locks said lid directly when changed from said unlocked state to said locked state [col. 2, lines 30-35].

- 11. Claim 18, Hosoi teaches a lid locking mechanism for locking and unlocking said lid manually, ... [col. 15, lines 55-64].
- 12. Claim 22, Hosoi teaches a power switch mounted to said case for enabling at least one of powering said information terminal on and powering said information terminal off [inherently, laptop computers have a power switch mounted to the case].
- 13. Claim 23, Hosoi teaches said interlocking lock mechanism locks said lid directly when changed from said unlocked state to said locked state [col. 2, lines 30-35].
- 14. Claim 24, Hosoi teaches a lid locking mechanism for locking and unlocking said lid manually, ... [col. 15, lines 55-64].

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claims 15, 21 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hosoi et al, US. Pat. No. 5,255,154.
- 17. Claims 15, 21 and 27, Hosoi fails to teach the information terminal comprises a wireless communication function.

Official notice is taken that both the concept and the advantages of having a wireless function built in an information terminal, such as a laptop, is old and well known in the Application/Control Number: 09/940,632

Art Unit: 2116

art as admitted by Applicant [see the specification of the instant application, page 1, lines 11-14].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hosoi to include a wireless communication function, because it improves portability by eliminating the need for cables.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 18. Claims 13, 19 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 19. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,632

Art Unit: 2116

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to A Elamin whose telephone number is (571) 272-3674. The

examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A Elamin **Primary Examiner**  Page 6

Art Unit 2116

February 12, 2005